Journal of Threatened Taxa | www.threatenedtaxa.org | 26 April 2022 | 14(4): 20908-20914

ISSN 0974-7907 (Online) | ISSN 0974-7893 (Print)

https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.7327.14.4.20908-20914

#7327 | Received 10 April 2021 | Final received 24 January 2022 | Finally accepted 19 March 2022

A report on the butterfly (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) diversity of the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site in Uttar Pradesh, India

Kritish De 10, Keshav Kumar 20, Amar Paul Singh 30, Virendra Prasad Uniyal 40 & Syed Ainul Hussain 50

^{1–5} Wildlife Institute of India, Chandrabani, Dehradun, Uttarakhand 248001, India.

¹kritish.de@gmail.com (corresponding author), ²keshavkumarju99@gmail.com, ³amarpaulsingh4@gmail.com, ⁴uniyalvp@wii.gov.in,

⁵ainul.hussain@gmail.com

Abstract: This study provides a primary inventory of the butterfly diversity of the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site in Uttar Pradesh, India. The study was carried out in two phases, first in March 2019 and then in November 2019. A total of 44 species of butterflies belonging to 34 genera and five families were seen in the area. The species observed in the study site belonged to the families Hesperiidae (4 genera, 4 species), Lycaenidae (4 genera, 4 species), Nymphalidae (18 genera, 24 species), Papilionidae (2 genera, 4 species), and Pieridae (6 genera, 8 species). Three of these species are legally protected under various schedules of the Indian Wildlife Protection Act (1972).

Keywords: Hesperiidae, Lycaenidae, Nymphalidae, Papilionidae, Pieridae, scheduled species, wetland.

There are a total of 42 wetlands in India covering a total of 1,081,438 ha of the country's geographic surface area that have been recognised as Ramsar sites (Ramsar Sites Information Service 2021). Since the life cycle of a terrestrial pollinator insect is not dependent on the aquatic ecosystem in most cases, it is assumed that their diversity in wetlands and riparian areas will always be

low, but Begosh et al. (2020) observed that there was little difference in abundance and richness of pollinators between uplands and wetlands. However, there have been only a few studies on the butterfly diversity of Indian Ramsar sites. Trigunayat & Singh (1998) reported 35 butterfly species in Keoladeo National Park, Rajasthan and Palot & Soniya (2000; 2001) reported 40 species under seven families. Chowdhury & Soren (2011) reported the presence of 74 species of butterflies under six families from East Calcutta Wetlands, Kolkata. Thakur et al. (2010) reported the presence of 50 species of butterflies under eight families from the Ropar wetland, Punjab. Following that, Sharma et al. (2014) and Narender (2017) observed Elymnias hypermnestra and Megisba malaya in the Ropar wetland. Sarath et al. (2017) reported the presence of 50 species of butterflies under eight families from Kole Wetlands, Kerala. So far, no studies have been conducted to document the diversity of butterflies in the Upper Ganga River Ramsar

OPEN

ACCESS

 \odot

Editor: Monsoon J. Gogoi, Bombay Natural History Society, Mumbai, India.

Date of publication: 26 April 2022 (online & print)

Citation: De, K., K. Kumar, A.P. Singh, V.P. Uniyal & S.A. Hussain (2022). A report on the butterfly (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) diversity of the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site in Uttar Pradesh, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 14(4): 20908–20914. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.7327.14.4.20908-20914

Copyright: © De et al. 2022. Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. JoTT allows unrestricted use, reproduction, and distribution of this article in any medium by providing adequate credit to the author(s) and the source of publication.

Funding: This work is funded by the National Mission for Clean Ganga, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Department of Water Resources, River development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Government of India (Grant No. B-02/2015-16/1259/NMCG-WIIPROPOSAL).

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements: Authors are thankful to the National Mission for Clean Ganga, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Department of Water Resources, River development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Government of India for sponsoring the work under the project "Biodiversity conservation and Ganga Rejuvenation". Authors express gratitude to the director and dean, Wildlife Institute of India for their administrative support for the study. Authors acknowledge the Environment, Forest and Climate Change Department, Government of Uttar Pradesh for necessary support during fieldwork.





Figure 1. Location of Upper Ganga river Ramsar site (dark blue line) between Ganga bridge at Garmukteswar and Narora barrage. Red squares represent nereast major towns and yellow circles reresent sampling lications.

site. The current study was carried out in order to create a primary inventory of butterflies of the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out first in March 2019 and then again in November 2019 along an 85-km stretch of the Ganga River in Uttar Pradesh, from Brijghat to Narora (Figure 1). This section of the river was designated as a Ramsar site in 2005 (Ramsar site no. 1574). It is India's only Ramsar site in the lotic (river) wetland category (Murthy et al. 2013). This stretch is shallow with deep water pools that are home to many conservation-worthy species such as Gangetic River Dolphins, Gharials, crocodiles, six species of turtles, otters, 82 species of fish, and hundreds of bird species (Ramsar Sites Information Service 2021). Agriculture is the most important land use in this region (Prasad et al. 2021). A total of 14 study sites were selected at 5-km intervals along the left bank of the river. A 100-m stretch was chosen at each site to record butterfly species. Sampling was conducted at each study site between 0930 h and 1330 h.

The opportunistic sampling method was used to visually record the species with binoculars. Butterflies that could not be identified in flight were captured with a butterfly net, identified, and safely released. The butterflies were identified in the field using field guides (Evans 1932; Wynter–Blyth, 1957; Kunte 2000; Kehimkar 2008). For further confirmation, a photograph of the documented species was taken during the survey using a DSLR camera. Scientific names of butterflies were followed as per Varshne & Smetacek (2015).

RESULTS

A total of 44 species of butterflies belonging to 34 genera and five families (Hesperiidae, Lycaenidae, Nymphalidae, Papilionidae, and Pieridae) were found in the study area (Table 1). The butterflies under the family Nymphalidae was most abundant with 24 species (54.545 % of total species) and 18 genera (52.941 % of total genera), followed by family Pieridae with eight species (18.182 % of total species) and six genera (17.647 % of total genera), family Lycaenidae with four species (9.091 % of total species) and four genera (11.765 % of total genera), family Hesperiidae with four species (9.091 % of total species) and four genera (11.765 % of total genera), and family Papilionidae with four species (9.091 % of total species) and two genera (5.882 % of total genera) (Figure 2).

Among these butterflies, three species are listed in

Butterfly diversity of Upper Ganga River Ramsar site

Table 1. List of butterflies reported from the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site.

Family	Scientific name	Authority	Common name	WPA status
Hesperiidae	Matapa aria	(Moore, [1866])	Common Branded Redeye	
Hesperiidae	Parnara sp.			
Hesperiidae	Pelopidas sp.			
Hesperiidae	Udaspes folus	(Cramer, [1775])	Grass Demon	
Lycaenidae	Euchrysops cnejus	(Fabricius, 1798)	Gram Blue	Schedule II (Part II)
Lycaenidae	Chilades lajus	(Stoll, [1780])	Lime Blue	
Lycaenidae	Tarucus balkanica nigra	Bethune-Baker, [1918]	Black-spotted Pierrot	
Lycaenidae	Zizeeria karsandra	(Moore, 1865)	Dark Grass Blue	
Nymphalidae	Acraea terpsicore	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Tawny Coster	
Nymphalidae	Ariadne merione	(Cramer, [1777])	Common Castor	
Nymphalidae	Cupha erymanthis	(Drury, [1773])	Rustic	
Nymphalidae	Cyrestis thyodamas	Doyère, [1840]	Map Butterfly	
Nymphalidae	Danaus chrysippus	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Plain Tiger	
Nymphalidae	Danaus genutia	(Cramer, [1779])	Striped Tiger	
Nymphalidae	Euploea mulciber	(Cramer, [1777])	Striped Blue Crow	Schedule IV
Nymphalidae	Hypolimnas bolina	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Great Eggfly	
Nymphalidae	Hypolimnas misippus	(Linnaeus, 1764)	Danaid Eggfly	Schedule II (Part II)
Nymphalidae	Junonia almana	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Peacock Pansy	
Nymphalidae	Junonia atlites	(Linnaeus, 1763)	Grey Pansy	
Nymphalidae	Junonia iphita	(Cramer, [1779])	Chocolate Pansy	
Nymphalidae	Junonia lemonias	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Lemon Pansy	
Nymphalidae	Junonia orithya	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Blue Pansy	
Nymphalidae	Kaniska canace	(Linnaeus, 1763)	Blue Admiral	
Nymphalidae	Libythea myrrha	Godart, 1819	Club Beak	
Nymphalidae	Melanitis leda	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Evening Brown	
Nymphalidae	Mycalesis sp.			
Nymphalidae	Neptis soma	Moore, 1858	Creamy Sailer	
Nymphalidae	Parantica aglea	(Stoll, [1782])	Glassy Tiger	
Nymphalidae	Phalanta phalantha	(Drury, [1773])	Common Leopard	
Nymphalidae	Symbrenthia lilaea	(Hewitson, 1864)	Common Jester	
Nymphalidae	Vanessa cardui	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Painted Lady	
Nymphalidae	Ypthima huebneri	Kirby, 1871	Common Four-ring	
Papilionidae	Pachliopta aristolochiae	(Fabricius, 1775)	Common Rose	
Papilionidae	Papilio demoleus	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Lime Swallowtail	
Papilionidae	Papilio polymnestor	Cramer, 1775	Blue Mormon	
Papilionidae	Papilio polytes	Linnaeus, 1758	Common Mormon	
Pieridae	Cepora nerissa	(Fabricius, 1775)	Common Gull	
Pieridae	Catopsilia pyranthe	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Mottled Emigrant	
Pieridae	Delias eucharis	(Drury, 1773)	Common Jezebel	
Pieridae	lxias marianne	(Cramer, [1779])	White Orange Tip	
Pieridae	Ixias pyrene	(Linnaeus, 1764)	Yellow Orange Tip	
Pieridae	Pareronia hippia	(Fabricius, 1787)	Indian Wanderer	
Pieridae	Pieris brassicae	(Linnaeus, 1758)	Large Cabbage White	
Pieridae	Pieris canidia	Sparrman, 1768	Asian Cabbage White	

Butterfly diversity of Upper Ganga River Ramsar site



Figure 2. Comparative accountof numbers of genus and species of butterflies under five families found from the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site



Figure 3. Percentage of genus and species of butterflies under five families found from the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site.

the schedules of the Wildlife Protection Act (WPA), 1972. Among these three species one species: *Euchrysops cnejus* (Fabricius, 1798) belongs to the family Lycaenidae and two species: *Euploea mulciber* (Cramer, [1777]) and *Hypolimnas misippus* (Linnaeus, 1764) belong to the family Nymphalidae.

DISCUSSION

In comparison to other ecological elements, the terrestrial arthropod fauna of wetlands has received little attention from researchers (Batzer & Wu 2020). Butterflies are a major herbivore group in terrestrial ecosystems but they are also common in riparian ecosystems because they actively use riparian habitats for nectar and larval food, and they can be used as an indicator group for riparian ecosystem assessment (An & Choi 2021).

Since butterflies are pollinators of their nectar plants as well as indicators of the health and quality of their host plants (Tiple et al. 2006) and the ecosystem as a whole, exploration of butterfly fauna is important in identifying and preserving potential habitats under threat. The presence of the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site, a wetland of international significance and India's only riverine Ramsar site, it is practically equivalent to the presence of a 'spring in a desert'. The river Ganga experiences different anthropogenic dangers throughout its course causing habitat degradation, which makes the preservation of a Ramsar site even more critical for species survival that cause the natural habitat of several aquatic and riparian biota to decline, however this region secures them.

Despite its immense ecological importance, the entomofauna of the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site is poorly documented. From this area, De et al. (2021) reported presence of 29 species of aquatic insects, including three species of Coleoptera, four species of Hemiptera, and 22 species of Odonata. For the first time, this study found 44 species of butterflies from 34 genera and five families in this area. Because the butterfly fauna of Indian Ramsar wetlands is largely unknown, the findings of this study contribute to our understanding of butterfly biodiversity in them.

The current list of butterfly species is nonexhaustive, and further detailed studies encompassing all seasons, variety of host and nectar plants, and other influential factors is recommended for creating favourable environments to sustain butterfly diversity in this wetland ecosystems.

REFERENCES

- An, J.S. & S.W. Choi (2021). Butterflies as an indicator group of riparian ecosystem assessment. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 24(1): 195–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2020.12.017
- Batzer, D.P. & H. Wu (2020). Ecology of terrestrial arthropods in freshwater wetlands. *Annual Review of Entomology* 65: 101–119. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011019-024902
- Begosh, A., L.M. Smith, S.T. McMurry & J.P. Harris (2020). Influence of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and playa wetlands on pollinator communities in the Southern High Plains, USA. *Journal of Environmental Management* 256: 109910. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109910
- Chowdhury, S. & R. Soren (2011). Butterfly (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) Fauna of East Calcutta Wetlands, West Bengal, India. *Check List* 7(6): 700. https://doi.org/10.15560/10960
- De, K., A. Sarkar, K. Singh, V.P. Uniyal, J.A. Johnson & S.A. Hussain (2021). Diversity of aquatic insects and biomonitoring of water quality in the upper Ganga River, a Ramsar site: a preliminary assessment. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 13(13): 20011–20018. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.5458.13.13.20011-20018
- Evans, W.H. (1932). The Identification of Indian Butterflies. Bombay Natural History Society, Bombay, India, 302 pp.
- Kehimkar, I. (2008). The Book of Indian Butterflies. Bombay Natural History Society and Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 497 pp.
- Kunte, K. (2000). India A lifescape: Butterflies of peninsular India. Universities Press (India) Privet Limited, Hyderabad, 254 pp.
- Murthy, T.V.R., J.G. Patel, S. Panigrahy & J.S. Parihar (Eds.) (2013). National Wetland Atlas: Wetlands of International Importance under Ramsar Convention, SAC/EPSA/ABHG/NWIA/ATLAS/38/2013. Space Applications Centre (ISRO), Ahmedabad, 230 pp.
- Narender, S. (2017). Sighting of Megisbamalaya (Horsfield) (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae) at Ropar Wetland, Punjab, India. *Journal of Insect Science* 30(1): 84–86.

Palot, M.J. & V.P. Soniya (2000). Preliminary report on the butterflies







Image 2. Butterfly reported from the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site: 10— Ariadne merione (Cramer, [1777]) | 11—Cupha erymanthis (Drury, [1773]) | 12—Cyrestis thyodamas Doyère, [1840] | 13—Danaus chrysippus (Linnaeus, 1758) | 14—Danaus genutia (Cramer, [1779]) | 15—Euploea mulciber (Cramer, [1777]) | 16—Hypolimnas bolina (Linnaeus, 1758) | 17—Hypolimnas misippus (Linnaeus, 1764) | 18—Junonia almana (Linnaeus, 1758).

Butterfly diversity of Upper Ganga River Ramsar site



Image 3. Butterfly reported from the Upper Ganga River Ramsar site: 19— Junonia atlites (Linnaeus, 1763) | 20—Junonia iphita (Cramer, [1779]) | 21—Junonia lemonias (Linnaeus, 1758) | 22—Junonia orithya (Linnaeus, 1758) | 23—Kaniska canace (Linnaeus, 1763) | 24—Libythea myrrha Godart, 1819 | 25—Melanitis leda (Linnaeus, 1758) | 26—Mycalesis sp. | 27—Neptis soma Moore, 1858.

Image 4. Butterfly reported from the Upper Ganga river Ramsar site: 28— Parantica aglea (Stoll, [1782]) | 29— Phalanta phalantha (Drury, [1773]) | 30—Symbrenthia lilaea (Hewitson, 1864) | 31—Vanessa cardui (Linnaeus, 1758) | 32—Ypthima huebneri Kirby, 1871 | 33— Pachliopta aristolochiae (Fabricius, 1775) | 34—Papilio demoleus (Linnaeus, 1758) | 35—Papilio polymnestor Cramer, 1775 | 36—Papilio polytes Linnaeus, 1758.



Image 5. Butterfly reported from the Upper Ganga river Ramsar site: 37— Cepora nerissa (Fabricius, 1775) | 38— Catopsilia pyranthe (Linnaeus, 1778) | 39—Delias eucharis (Drury, 1773) | 40—Ixias marianne (Cramer, [1779]) | 41—Ixias pyrene (Linnaeus, 1764) | 42—Pareronia hippia (Fabricius, 1787) | 43—Pieris brassicae (Linnaeus, 1758) | 44—Pieris canidia Sparrman, 1768.

of Keoladeo National Park, Bharatpur, Rajasthan, India. *Zoos' Print Journal* 15(6): 287–288. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.zpj.15.6.287-8

- Palot, M.J. & V.P. Soniya (2001). Additions to the Butterflies of Keoladeo National Park, Bharatpur, Rajasthan, India. *Zoos' Print Journal* 16(5): 495–495. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.zpj.16.5.495
- Prasad, S., R. Saluja, V. Joshi, & J.K. Garg (2021). Riverine landscape dynamics of the Upper Ganga River (Haridwar-Narora), India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 193(2): 1–20. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-08868-8
- Ramsar Sites Information Service (2021). Annotated List of Wetlands of International Importance: India. https://rsis.ramsar.org/sites/ default/files/rsiswp_search/exports/Ramsar-Sites-annotatedsummary-India.pdf?1617983966. Accessed 9 April 2021
- Sarath, S., E.R. Sreekumar & P.O. Nameer (2017). Butterflies of the Kole Wetlands, a Ramsar Site in Kerala, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 9(5): 10208–10215. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.3513.9.5.10208-10215

- Sharma, N., P. Kumar & P.C. Tak (2014). Occurrence of Elymnias hypermnestra undularis (Drury) (Lepidoptera: Satyridae) at Ropar wetland, Punjab, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa 6(2): 5499–5500. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.o3190.5499-500
- Thakur, M.S., H.S. Mehta & V.K. Mattu (2010). Check list of butterflies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) of Ropar wetland and its environs, Punjab, India. *Journal of Entomological Research* 34(1): 85–94.
- Tiple, A.D., V.P. Deshmukh & R.L.H. Dennis (2006). Factors influencing nectar plant resource visits by butterflies on a university campus: implications for conservation. *Nota Lepidopteralogica* 28: 213–224.
- Trigunayat, M.M. & N.P. Singh (1998). Checklist of butterfly fauna of Keoladeo National Park, Bharatpur (Rajasthan). *Cheetal* 37(3–4): 48–51.
- Varshney, R.K. & P. Smetacek (2015). A Synoptic Catalogue of the Butterflies of India. Butterfly Research Centre, Bhimtal and Indinov Publishing, New Delhi, 261 pp.
- Wynter-Blyth, M.A. (1957). Butterflies of the Indian Region. Bombay Natural History Society, Bombay, 523 pp.



20914

De et al